added as a cosponsor of S. 1196, a bill to amend the Higher Education Act of 1965 to establish a student internship program to offer students practical involvement with elected officials on local and State levels of government and with Members of Congress.

5, 1862

At the request of Mr. Bentsen, the Senator from Minnesota (Mr. Humphrey) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1862, the Emergency Municipal Assistance Act.

S. 1969

At the request of Mr. Hartke, the Senator from Florida (Mr. Chiles) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1969, a bill to authorize recomputation at age 60 of the retired pay of members and former members of the uniformed services whose retired pay is computed on the basis of pay scales in effect prior to January 1, 1972, and for other purposes.

S. 2022

At the request of Mr. Hartke, the Senator from Vermont (Mr. Leahx) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2022, a bill to provide for the compensation of persons injured by criminal acts.

S. 2119

At the request of Mr. Fannin, the Senator from Idaho (Mr. McClure) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2119, a bill to amend the Communications Act to provide that licenses for the operation of a broadcasting station shall be issued for a term of 5 years, and for other purposes.

8. 2131

At the request of Mr. Thurmond, the Senator from Arizona (Mr. Fannin) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2131, a bill to amend title 18, United States Code, relating to the production of false documents or papers of the United States, involving an element of identification.

8. 2135

At the request of Mr. Thurmond, the Senator from Maine (Mr. Hathaway), the Senator from New Mexico (Mr. Domenici), the Senator from Pennsylvania (Mr. Hugh Scott), and the Senator from Illinois (Mr. Stevenson) were added as cosponsors of S. 2135, a bill to authorize the National Committee of American Airmen Rescued by Gen. Drazha Mihailovich to erect a monument in Washington, D.C.

S. 2203

At the request of Mr. HARTKE, the Senator from California (Mr. TUNNEY) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2203, a bill to provide for paper money of the United States to be embossed to indicate the denomination thereof.

S. 2299

At the request of Mr. Roth, the Senator from New Jersey (Mr. Case), the Senator from Ohio (Mr. Taft), the Senator from Pennsylvania (Mr. Schweiker), the Senator from Nebraska (Mr. Curis), the Senator from Tennessee (Mr. Brock), the Senator from Georgia (Mr. Nunn), the Senator from Wyoming (Mr. McGee), the Senator from Senator from Utah (Mr. Garn), the Senator from Utah (Mr. Garn)

ator from Texas (Mr. Tower), the Senator from Tennessee (Mr. Baker), the Senator from Nebraska (Mr. Hruska), the Senators from Oregon (Mr. Hathell) and Mr. Packwood), and the Senator from Maryland (Mr. Mathias) were added as cosponsors of S. 2299, a bill which extends the Emergency Petroleum Allocation Act of 1973 to October 15, 1975.

SENATE RESOLUTION 157

At the request of Mr. Nelson, the Senator from Colorado (Mr. Gary W. Hart), the Senator from South Dakota (Mr. Abourezk), the Senator from Arkansas (Mr. Bumpers), and the Senator from Alaska (Mr. Gravel), were added as cosponsors of Senate Resolution 157, a resolution amending the Standing Rules of the Senate with respect to service of Senators as chairmen of committees of the Senate.

SENATE RESOLUTION 231

Mr. NELSON. Mr. President, on July 21, I submitted Senate Resolution 231, to establish a timetable for Senate consideration of, and action on, legislative proposals relating to continuing congressional oversight of Government intelligence and other surveillance activities. At that time, the cosponsors were not listed in the Congressional Record.

I ask unanimous consent to have printed the the permanent Recorp the complete list of cosponsors of Senate Resolution 231.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Cosponsors of S. Res. 231

Mr. Jackson, Mr. Muskie, Mr. Church, Mr. Baker, Mr. Weicker, Mr. Ribicoff, Mr. Percy, Mr Javits, Mr. Cranston, Mr. Humphrey, Mr. Hathaway, Mr. Stevenson, Mr. Burdick, and Mr. Hartke.

SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION 53

At the request of Mr. Harke, the Senator from Minnesota (Mr. Humphrey) was added as a cosponsor of Senate Concurrent Resolution 53, relating to awarding the Purple Heart to members interred in the Tomb of the Unknowns.

SENATE RESOLUTION 242—SUBMISSION OF A RESOLUTION RELATING TO THE COMMEMORATION OF CITIZENSHIP DAY AND CONSTITUTION WEEK

(Referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.)

Mr. SPARKMAN submitted the following resolution:

Resolved, That (a) at an appropriate time after convening on September 17, 1975, Citizenship Day, the first day of Constitution Week, 1975, a Senator, designated by the President of the Senate, will read the Preamble and Article I of the Constitution of the United States.

(b) The National Conference on Citizenship, chartered by Act of Congress, is invited to provide a replica scroll of the Constitution at an appropriate time and place on September 17, 1975, for the purpose of permitting the Members of Congress to sign the replica and thereby symbolically rededicate themselves to the principles of the Constitution

SENATE RESOLUTION 243—SUBMISSION OF A RESOLUTION RELATION OF THE DEATH OF FORMER PRESIDENT KENNEDY

(Referred to the Committee on Government Operations.)

Mr. SCHWEIKER submitted the following resolution:

S. RES. 243

Resolved, That (a) from funds available for investigation of intelligence activities by the Senate Select Committee to Study Governmental Operations with respect to Intelligence Activities (hereinafter referred to as the "Select Committee"), the Select Committee shall fully investigate matters relating to the death of former President John F. Kennedy, including the extent, if any, to which Lee Harvey Oswald or Jack Ruby was involved in, or the subject of, activities of United States intelligence agencies, and the extent to which United States intelligence agencies effectively gathered, analyzed, and disclosed to the President's Commission on the Assassination of President Kennedy all information requested by, or relevant to, such Commission and the duties with which it was charged.

(b) (1) In conducting such investigation the Select Committee is authorized to have access to any information in the National Archives or elsewhere which relates to the death of Former President John F. Kennedy.

(2) In carrying out the investigation required under this resolution, the Select Committee is authorized to exercise all powers granted to it under Senate Resolution 21, Ninety Fourth Congress, agreed to January 27, 1975, as amended.

January 27, 1975, as amended.

SEC. 2. The Select Committee shall make a final report to the Senate, stating the results of its investigation and findings under this resolution at the earliest practicable data.

Mr. SCHWEIKER. Mr. President, I send to the desk a resolution to modify the authority of the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence Activities, to permit full investigation into the effectiveness with which the intelligence community discharged its responsibilities to the Warren Commission.

Recent disclosures have devastated the credibility of the Warren Commission Report. We now have evidence the Commission's primary investigative arm the Federal Bureau of Investigation—destroyed and suppressed evidence

destroyed and suppressed evidence.

Previously classified documents, such as the transcripts I send to the desk, dramatically demonstrate the frustration and resignation of Commission members who felt they could not get the truth from the FBI.

In one transcript Commission member Allen Dulles acknowledged that FBI Director Hoover might lie to the Warren Commission about FBI links with Lee Harvey Oswald, even if asked by the President to answer truthfully. In another transcript, three Commission members agreed the FBI was reluctant to investigate evidence of a conspiracy because of its own preconceived conclusion that Oswald acted alone.

No wonder 60 percent of the American people doubt the Warren Commission's findings. The Commission members themselves doubted they were getting the whole story, and the FBI par-

40 mg 4 mg

ticipated in what can only be called a

FBI Director Clarence Kelley has now confirmed that Oswald visited the Dallas FBI office in November and agents there later destroyed a letter in which Oswald threatened the FBI. The letter was re-ceived several days before the Kennedy shooting and destroyed sometime after it. This was never revealed to the Warren Commission.

This new admission proves false Hoover's sworn statement to the Warren Commission, which I send to the desk with this statement, which limited to three specific dates the number of FBI contacts with Oswald prior to the as-

sassination.

Moreover, the following factors also underscore the inadequacy of the origi-

nal investigation:

The fact that only two Texas FBI agents and no CIA agents testified bethe Warren Commission—this despite persistent rumors at the time of intelligence community connections with Oswald and his killer, Jack Ruby. There were an estimated 50 FBI agents stationed in Dallas alone at the time of the assassination.

The failure of the Warren Commission to follow up on former Dallas Police Chief Jesse Curry's report that he suppressed evidence for 5 months following the assassination at the direct request of the FBI. Curry now says the high FBI official making the suppression request was acting on personal orders from Hoover. The evidence in question indicated that the FBI had prior knowledge that Oswald could be a threat to Kennedy.

The failure of former CIA Director chiles to inform the Commission of U.S. attempts on the life of Cuban Premier Fidel Castro, and Castro's subsequent threats to retailate against "U.S. lead-

Mr. President, I find it intriguing that of the 152 Warren Commission documents which remain classified, 130 relate to U.S. intelligence agencies—107 FBI, 23 CIA. If Oswald was indeed a madman acting alone, what justification is there for keeping these documents classified 75 years after the assassination? The most probable explanation is that they link Oswald, or Ruby, or both, to U.S. intelligence agencies.

The Senate Intelligence Committee is the only existing institution with the staff and expertise to investigate this matter effectievly and responsibly.

Moreover, this committee is presently charged with examining both the effectiveness and propriety of intelligence agency activities and precisely these points are now at issue with regard to the role of the agencies in investigating President Kennedy's death. Without my mentionant the select committee does amendment, the select committee does not have authority to examine all docube relevant to the questions of intelli-rence community effectivenses and propriety. ments now in the Archives which may

I ask unanimous consent that the accompanying material in connection with the resolution be printed in the

RECORD.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

Mr. Dulles, I would tell the President of the United States anything, yes, I am under his control. He is my boss, I wouldn't neces-Sarily tell anybody else, unless the President authorized the to do it. We had that come up at times.

Mr. McCloy, You wouldn't tell the Secretary of Defense?

Mr. Dulles. Well, it depends a little bit on the circumstances. If it was within the jurisdiction of the Secretary of Defense, but otherwise I would go to the President, and I do on some cases.

Mr. Rankin. If that is all that is necessary, I think we could get the President to direct anybody working for the government to answer this question. If we have to we would get that direction.

Mr. Dulles. What I was getting at, I think under any circumstances, I think Mr. Hoover would say certainly he didn't have anything to do with this fellow.

Mr. McClor. Mr. Hoover didn't have anything to do with him but his agent. Did you directly or indirectly employ him?

Mr. Dulles, But if he says no, I didn't have anything to do with it. You can't prove what the facts are. There are no external evidences. I would believe Mr. Hoover. Some people might not. I don't think there is any external evidence other than the person's word that he did or did not employ a particular man as a secret agent. No matter what.

Now the difficulty with trying to get the man in charge and asking him these questions is how much do you know about what he is giving. If we got him here before the Commission, I think you could ask him a good many things but he would probably say two-thirds or more of the time, "I told you this and I told you this and my reports", and so forth.

So I don't think we have equipped you as Commissioners so that you could do that. He would soon find you didn't know any-

think like what he did about the matter. As far as we are concerned, the men are

getting advised of the areas as rapidly as possible, and they are coming back with these further inquiries, but there are vast areas that are unanswered at the present

We have some differences between the Secret Service and the FBI, we have location of their cars and where the shots were and things where they differed as much as 17 feet, and we are trying to find out how they could have that much difference between them, and there is an explanation. It isn't as bad as that, because some of it is part of calculations.

Mr. McCLoy. Calculating their speed, I suppose.

Mr. RANKIN. That is right. And whether or not the first shot occurred behind the sign or just as he came out from behind the sign and matters of that kind.

Mr. McCloy. I can see the difficulty with that. But on the other hand, I have a feeling we are so dependent upon them for our facts that it might be a useful thing to have him before us, or maybe just you talk to him, to give us the scope of his investigation, and as of that date, some of the things that are still troubling us, and we will be able to ask him, for example, to follow up on Hostv.

Mr. RANKIN. Part of our difficulty in regard to it is that they have no problem. They have decided that it is Oswald who committed the assassination, they have decided that no one else was involved, they have decided-

Senator RUSSELL. They have tried the case and reached a verdict on every aspect.

Representative Boggs. You have put your finger on it.

Mr. McCloy. They are a little less certain in the supplementals than they were in the

Mr. RANKIN. Yes, but they are still there. They have decided the case, and we are going to have maybe a thousand further inquiries that we say the Commission has to know all these things before it can pass on this.

And I think their reaction probably would be, "Why do you want all that. It is clear." Senator Russell. "You have our statement, what else do you need?"

[Commission Exhibit No. 835] U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE. FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION, February 6, 1964.

Hon, J. LEO RANKIN. General Counsel, The President's Commis-

sion, Washington, D.C. DEAR MR. RANKIN: Reference is made to our conversation of January 23, 1964, concerning testimony furnished the Commission by Mr. Henry M. Wade, the District Attorney of Dallas County, Texas. You advised that Mr. Wade testified he had heard that Lee Harvey Oswald had been an informant of the FBI, had been assigned symbol numbol number "179" and had been paid \$200 monthly in this capacity. You further advised that Mr. Wade also indicated that FBI headquarters was not in a position to know in all instances whether an individual was an informant of this Bureau.

At the time, I advised you that Lee Harvey Oswald had never been an informant of the FBI and that this Bureau's procedure in regard to handling informants is such as to insure that FBI headquarters would have all necessary facts concerning the development and control of any and every inform-

Enclosed for your information and use in this regard is an affidavit in which I have categorically stated that Lee Harvey Oswald was never an informant of the FBI and have outlined our administrative procedures for the handling and the payment of confidential informants.

Sincerely yours,

J. EDGAR HOOVER.

AFFIDAVIT

CITY OF WASHINGTON,

District of Columbia, ss.
J. Edgar Hoover, Director, Federal Bureau of Investigation, Department of Justice, being first duly sworn, deposes and says:

That he has caused a search to be made of the records of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, United States Department of Justice, by employees of the said Federal Bureau of Investigation acting under his direction, and that said search discloses that Lee Harvey Oswald was never an informant of the FBI, was never assigned a symbol number in that capacity, and was never paid any amount of money by the FBI in any regard.

Such a statement can be made authoritatively and without equivocation because of the close supervision FBI headquarters affords its security informant program and because of the safeguards established to insure against any abuse or misuse of the program.

FBI field offices cannot proceed to develop anyone as a security informant without authorization from FBI headquarters. An in-formant is assigned a permanent symbol number and code name to afford him security. The informant never knows the symbol number assigned to him. It is a number permanently assigned to him, and the same number cannot be used again by the field office under any circumstances for any other individual. The individual also is given a fictitious or cover name by the field office which he, of course, is made aware of, and he affixes

September 8, 1975 CONGRESSIONAL RECORD—SENATE

it to his communications with the office. Every symbol number and code name is indexed at FBI headquarters.

If the services of an informant warrant payment on a regular basis, the field offices must also obtain authorization from FBI headquarters to make such payments.

Special Agents in Charge (SACs) of FBI field offices are authorized to make payments to individuals not utilized on a regular basis as informants, but here too FBI headquarters controls this by limiting the amount an SAC can pay to any one individual in this category. FBI headquarters maintains control of such payments since they must be accounted for by the field offices at the end of each month through the submission of a detailed accounting to headquarters.

Had any of the FBI field offices made payments to Lee Harvey Oswald under the SAC's authority, those would have been shown in the receipts and vouchers submitted by each office. These records have been checked and no such payment was ever made. Had Oswald been assigned a symbol number, this would be a matter of record not only by number but also by name. As a matter of fact, the FBI can identify every symbol number used, past or present. Oswald could not have been assigned such a symbol number without approval by FBI headquarters. There is no record of any such request by any field office and no record of any such prevent.

ord of any such request by any field office and no record of any such approval.

The only contacts FBI Agents had with Oswald prior to the assassination of President Kennedy involved three interviews FBI Agents had with him. The first was on June 26, 1962, at Fort Worth, Texas, shortly after his return home from the Soviet Union. The purpose was to assess the possibility of his having been given intelligence assignments by the Soviets, The second, on August 16, 1962, was in the same connection. The third was at his specific request on August 10, 1963, following his arrest in New Orleans the preceding day on a charge of disturbing the peace and creating a scene. At that time, he described some of his activities in connection with the Fair Play for Cuba Committee, the pro-Castro organization.

Oswald was again interviewed by FBI Agents at the Dallas Police Department following his arrest after the assassination of the President. This interview was almed at eliciting any admissions he might make in connection with the assassination, as well as to obtain any information he might have been able to furnish of a context.

as to obtain any information he might have been able to furnish of a security nature.

FBI headquarters has obtained affidavits from every Special Agent who was in contact with Oswald, as well as affidavits from their respective SACs. These affidavits show that none of these FBI Agents developed Oswald as an informant.

Mr. Henry M. Wade, a former Special Agent of the FBI and currently the District Attorney of Dallas County, Texas, reportedly testified previously to the Commission that he had heard that Lee Harvey Oswald was an FBI informant with the symbol number "179" and was being paid \$200 monthly. As the facts clearly show, this is not true

the facts clearly show, this is not true.
Furthermore, the facts refute Mr. Wade's reported statement to the Commission that there is no record maintained in the FBI of informant funds expended or the purposes for which used by the FBI people to whom they were furnished.

they were furnished.

Mr. Wade reportedly stated that he had worked in the FBI's Special Intelligence Service (SIS) and that he was supplied from time to time with various sums of money for which he did not have to account and for which he did not have to obtain any receipts from the persons to whom he disbursed the money.

The emergency conditions that prevailed during World War II when the FBI conducted its SIS program did not permit the tight supervision that prevails currently in the

FBI's informant operations. But this is true only in regard to the fact that SIS men necessarily were given the latitude to develop and pay informants on the spot without prior approval from FBI headquarters. Nevertheless, SIS men operated under a control system and adhered to it by advising FBI headquarters of payments made and the identity of the individuals paid. Such payments were supported by receipts in pearly every instance.

ported by receipts in nearly every instance. Mr. Wade, for example, entered on duty with the FBI on December 4, 1939. On July 6, 1942, he was advanced the sum of \$1,075 in connection with an SIS assignment. This was for subsistence and travel in connection with his assignment in Ecuador as well as to provide him with some money with which to establish himself. His passage from New Orleans to Guayaquil, Ecuador, cost over \$500 alone. In addition, he was required to make full restitution of the total amount which had been advanced him and subsequently did so. All of his expenditures of the total amount furnished him were substantiated by vouchers he submitted.

Mr. Wade arrived in Ecuador on August 16,

Mr. Wade arrived in Ecuador on August 16, 1942. He operated in an undercover capacity with a symbol number, specifically Number 345, and used the code name "James" in signing communications. Within Ecuador, he was referred to as Confidential Informant Number 6.

Although in an undercover capacity, Mr. Wade was required to submit vouchers twice monthly through the Legal Attache's Office in Quito, Ecuador. They were reviewed there and forwarded to FBI headquarters where they were checked prior to approval and the transmittal of funds to Mr. Wade's account.

[From the Houston (Tex.) Chronicle, Sept. 1, 1975]

Following is a reproduction of then Dallas police chief Jesse Curry's letter to Chief Justice Earl Warren explaining Curry's role in the coverup of Lt. Jack Revill's statement that FBI agent James Hosty told him the FBI had information that Lee Harvey Oswald was capable of assassinating President John F. Kennedy.

MAY 28, 1964.

Hon. Earl Warren, Chairman, President's Commission on the Assassination of President Kennedy, Washington, D.C.

DEAR Sm: In a letter to me dated May 21, 1964, Mr. J. Lee Rankin, General Counsel of the President's Commission on the Assassination of President Kennedy, raised several points concerning Lieutenant Jack Revill's report of November 22, 1963, on his conversation with FBI Agent James Hosty on that date.

The first question posed by Mr. Rankin was why Lieutenant Revill's report was not made known to the Commission prior to my appearance before that group. When I received the report on November 22, 1963, I immediately realized the gravity and seriousness of the information it contained. On that date, before newsmen, I stated that I had received information that the FBI knew of Oswald's presence in Dallas and that the Dallas Police Department had no information on Oswald in its files. This statement was based on the report of Lieutenant Revill.

Within a few minutes of my statement to the press, I received a telephone call from Mr. Gordon Shanklin, Special Agent in charge of the Dallas Office of the FBI, in which Mr. Shanklin stated that the Bureau was extremely desirous that I retract my statement to the press. I then appeared before the press again, and retracted my statement to this extent: I stated that "of my own personal knowledge" I did not know that the FBI knew of Oswald's presence in Dallas, and that if they did they were under no obligation to the Dallas Police Department to pass on the information.

After the appointment of the Warren Commission, I was reasonably sure I would appear before that body, so I decided to present the report, personally, at the time of my appearance. I felt that the Commission would probably like for the statement to be notarized, so I had this done on April 7, 1964.

Mr. Rankin's next question concerned the date that the report was placed in the Intelligence Unit's files. I instructed Lieutenant Revill to keep this report confidential. He said that after his copy was returned to him, he kept it locked in his desk drawer until after I returned from Washington where I delivered the report to the Commission. He then placed his report in the files.

Mr. Rankin further asked if I knew of any additional information in the possession of the Dallas Police Department that had not been made available to the Commission. I

know of no such information. Very truly yours,

J. E. Curry, Chief of Police.

[From the Times-Picayune, Sept. 9, 1963] CASTRO BLASTS RAIDS ON CUBA

EDITOR'S NOTE.—Prime Minister Fidel Castro turned up at a reception in the Brazilian Embassy in Havana Saturday night and submitted to an impromptu interview by Associated Press correspondent Daniel Harker. Harker's account of the interview reached New York Sunday afternoon.

(By Dantel Harker)

HAVANA.—Prime Minister Fidel Castro said Saturday night "United States leaders" would be in danger if they beloed in any attempt to do away with leaders of Cuba.

Bitterly denouncing what he called recent

Bitterly denouncing what he called recent U.S.-prompted raids on Cuban territory, Castro said: "We are prepared to fight them and answer in kind. United States leaders should think that if they are aiding terrorist plans to eliminate Cuban leaders, they themselves will not be safe."

Speaking with this correspondent at a Brazilian National Day reception in the Brazilian Embassy, Castro also disclosed that Cuba has not made up its mind about signing the limited nuclear test-ban treaty drawn up last month in Moscow.

RUSSIANS PUZZLED

(A recent dispatch from Moscow indicated the Russians themselves have been puzzled by Cuba's silence in connection with the treaty. Speculation there was that Castro was holding out for more Soviet economic aid and threatening to cast his lot with the Red Chinese.)

Castro said Cuba is studying the treaty "with extreme care."

"This is an important decision . . . and we are not ready yet to make up our minds," he added.

The prime minister did not explain which points in the treaty were given most consideration. But he said: "We are taking into account the current world situation, which of course involves the Caribbean situation which has been deteriorating in the last few days due to piratical attacks by the United States against the Cuban people."

TREND CHANGED

World affairs, he said, "seemed to be entering a more peaceful climate a few days ago, but now this trend has changed with attacks."

He accused the United States of carrying out "double-crossing and shifting policies."

He added: "The United States is always ready to negotiate and make promises which later it will not honor. This has happened in promises made during the October crisis. They have been broken, as can be seen with new attacks. But I warn this is leading to a very dangerous situation that could lead to a worse crisis than October's."

September 8, 1975

Castro then launched into a discussion of the U.S. political scene, saying he expects no change in Washington's foreign policy even if there is a change in administration's after the 1964 presidential election.

BOTH, "CHEAP, CROOKED" "I am sure it will be a fight between (President) Kennedy and (Sen. Barry) Gold-Water (R-Ariz.). Both are changed water (R-Ariz.). Both are cheap and crooked politicians," Castro said.
"We have heard Goldwater is tough. Well,

if he ever is elected, let him try his tough policies on * * *,

AGENCY SOURCE OF REMAINING WITHHELD DOCUMENTS

Federal Bureau of Investigation	107
Central Intelligence Agency	99
State Department	10
Internal Revenue Service	13
HIMW	4
HEW Sodel Society	1
Social Security Administration	•
James H. Martin	
Earl Ruby	1
Control of the Contro	

NOTICE CONCERNING NOMINA-FIONS BEFORE THE COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY

Mr. ROBERT C. BYRD. Mr. President. the following nominations have been referred to and are now pending before the Committee on the Judiciary

E. Edward Johnson, of Kansas, to be U.S. attorney for the district of Kansas for the term of 4 years, vice Robert J. Roth, resigned.

Julio Morales-Sanchez, of Puerto Rico, to be U.S. attorney for the district of Puerto Rico for the term of 4 years (reappointment).

James B. Young, of Indiana, to be U.S. attorney for the southern district of Indiana for the term of 4 years, vice Stanley B. Millier, resigned.

On behalf of the Committee on the Judiciary, notice is hereby given to all persons interested in these nominations to file with the committee, in writing, on or before Monday, September 15, 1975, any representations or objections they wish to present concerning the above nominations, with a further statement whether it is their intention to appear at any hearing which may be scheduled.

ANNOUNCEMENT OF HEARINGS ON THE ECONOMIC PROBLEMS OF SMALL BUSINESSES, FARMS, AND FISHERIES IN THE STATE OF MAINE

Mr. NELSON. Mr. President, I wish to announce that the Select Committee on Small Business will hold a public hearing on the current economic prob-lems of small businesses, farms, and fisheries on September 13, 1975, in Presque Isle, Maine. The location of the hearing will be in the Weiden Auditorium, University of Maine, 181 Main Street, and will begin at 10 a.m.

Cochairing the hearing will be the Senator from Maine (Mr. HATHAWAY) and the Senator from Oregon (Mr. PACEWOOD).

Further information on the hearing can be obtained from the offices of the committee, 424 Russell Office Building, telephone 224-5175.

is to market

NOTICE OF HEARING

Mr. PELL. Mr. President, the Subcommittee or Education of the Senate Com-mittee on Labor and Public Welfare has scheduled hearings on September 16 and 18 in room 4232 DSOB on S. 2106, introduced by Senators Tower, Bartlett, Hruska, and Laxalt, which would amend title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 to exempt certain revenue-producing intercollegiate athletic activities.

Those wishing to submit statements to the subcommittee for the hearing record should contact Stephen J. Wexler, counsel to the subcommittee, at 224-7666.

ANNOUNCEMENT OF HEARING BE-FORE THE ENVIRONMENT AND LAND RESOURCES SUBCOMMIT-TEE, INTERIOR AND INSULAR AF-FAIRS COMMITTEE

Mr. HASKELL. Mr. President, I wish o announce, for the information of the Senate and the public, the scheduling of a public hearing before the Environment and Land Resources Subcommittee of the Senate Interior and Insular Affairs Committee, on S. 1506, a bill to designate a 175-mile segment of the Missouri River as a component of the National Wild and Scenic Rivers System.

Mr. President, a public hearing was held on this bill on August 25, 1975, on S. 1506. At that time the subcommittee heard from many concerned public witnesses. Therefore, the purpose of the hearing in Washington will be to receive testimony only from administration witnesses.

The hearing is scheduled for 10 a.m., September 19, 1975, in room 3110 of the Dirksen Senate Office Building.

ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS

OTHER NATIONS OUTSTRIPPING AMTRAK GOALS

Mr. ABOUREZK. Mr. President, the time is nearing when we must decide whether we will continue to pump Federal money into the present Amtrak system or provide this Nation with a totally new program to improve and rejuvenate our railroads.

The following article points out some current problems confronting Amtrak. It notes that despite Amtrak's efforts and suggested programs, the Northeast Corridor run will not soon be comparable or competitive with the European or Japanese rail systems. This is distressing and disappointing. I believe we deserve much more from a program that demands so much-and ever more-of our

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the article be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the article was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

[From the New York Times, Sept. 1, 1975] OTHER NATIONS OUTSTRIPPING AMTRAK GOALS (By Edward C. Burks)

Amtrak's new priority plan to upgrade the Northeast Corridor over the next two to three years will not reduce the big margin

ER in speed that high-tailing European and

Japanese flyers hold over American trains.
The explanation is simple: The Europeans and Japanese have more ambitious high-speed projects and are pouring more money

into them.

[April H, Reistrup, Amtrak's new president, recently outlined the following "basic targets" in a first-stage speed-improvement program for the four-year-old national railroad passenger system:

In "two working seasons"—1976 and 1977—to increase top speeds on the New York-to-Washington Metroliner run from 105 to 125 miles an hour and to cut the running time (including four or five stops) from three hours to 2 hours 45 minutes, meaning a gain in average speed from 75 to 82 miles an hour.

In the same period, to reduce the running time on the twisting New York-to-Boston line from nearly four hours to approximately 3 hours 15 minutes, an increase in average speed from 60 to 72 miles an hour.

The improvement in speed to be accompanied by much greater riding comfort because of track upgrading and new equipment.

JOLTING RIDES CITED

Mr. Reistrup and a legion of Metroliner passengers have complained that the bad tracks produce a jolting ride. Some call the Metroliner and the new Amfleet coaches with their airliner-like interiors tomorrow's trains on yesterday's tracks. Others say the Metroliner cars need seat belts.

As Amtrack moves toward 82-mile-an-hour average speeds in the New York-to-Washing-ton corridor by 1978, the Japanese continue to expand a high-speed network engineered for top speeds ranging from 125 to 155 miles an hour.

France, which operates the fastest train in Europe, already has a 90-mile-an-hour average speed on the 360-mile run between Paris and Bordeaux. This is being improved to reach a 100-mile-an-hour average.

The French Government also authorized this year the construction of a new, electrified passenger railroad in its busiest corridor—from Paris to Lyon. The project, scheduled for completion in 1982, calls for 160-mile-anhour top speeds and for 130-mile-an-hour average speeds to connect the cities in two hours.

West Germany has two new high-speed lines under construction and two more will follow in the next few years.

ITALY MOVES AHEAD

Italy, despite chronic financial problems, is completing Europe's first high-speed line, the "direttissima" (very direct line), to connect Rome and Florence with average speeds above 100-mile-an-hour average.

French expresses cover more than 25,000 miles daily at speeds exceeding 75 miles an hour. In this country, outside the Northeast Corridor, the great majority of Amtrak's nationwide total of 247 daily trains average speeds of 50 miles an hour, far below those of the fifties, because of bad tracks and a passenger car fleet averaging 24 years in age.

passenger car neet averaging 2° years in age.

Amtrak is aware of the progress in Europe
and has benefited from it. It bought six
handsome turbine-powered French trains
capable of 125-miles-an-hour speeds and
found them to be reliable in Midwest corridors. It has ordered the construction of ridors. It has ordered the construction of seven more, based on the French design, to be built in California, and most of these are scheduled for service on the New York-Albany-Buffalo "empire" route.
But Amtrak, a quasi-Federal corporation, is

not a policymaking agency of the Federal Government, and expensive high-speed projects involve Federal policy decisions. Amtrak urges, recommends and cajoles, and Mr. Reistrup an experienced railroader who came to Amtrak from the Illinois Central Gulf calls for a reasonable approach geared to the realities of the American rail situation.

			ROUTING	G AND	RECOR	D SHEET
SUBJECT:	(Optional)			7 n		Executive Registry
FROM:	·····		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		EXTENSION	NO. 73-77-379
Office of Legislative Counsel					6121	DATE
TC): (Offic	cer designation, room number, and DATE			ATE	OFFICER'S	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom
	- 1.2 Person at 113	interest	RECEIVED	FORWARDED	INITIALS	to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)
ì.	Director		9/11	9/12	we.	Attached are the remarks of Senator Schweiker upon introducing
2.	\rightarrow olc					S. Res. 243, the Resolution to direct the Senate Select Committee to reopen the investigation of the
3.						assassination of John F. Kennedy, "including the extent, if any, to which Lee Harvey Oswald or Jack
4.						Ruby was involved in, or the subject of, activities of United States intelligence agencies"
5.						intelligency agencies
6.						
7.						Acting Legislative Counsel
8.						
9.					\	
10.						
11.						
12.						
13.					-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
14.	小製物構造 明められば、等。 1位 日本 は、					
15.				1		
13.		1811 - 21 A.12 A				